Aggregation control of Ru and Ir nanoparticles by tunable aryl alkyl imidazolium ionic liquids†
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Metal-nanoparticles (M-NPs) were synthesized in a wet-chemical synthesis route in tunable aryl alkyl imidazolium ionic liquids (TAAILs) based on the 1-aryl-3-alkyl-substituted imidazolium motif from Ru3(CO)12 and Ir4(CO)12 by microwave-heating induced thermal decomposition. The size and size dispersion of the NPs were determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to an average diameter of 2.2(±0.1) to 3.9 (±0.3) nm for Ru-NPs and to an average diameter of 1.4(±0.1) to 2.4(±0.1) nm for Ir-NPs. The TAAILs used contain the same bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide anion but differ in the substituents on the 1-aryl ring, e.g. 2-methyl-, 4-methoxy- and 2,4-dimethyl groups and in the 3-alkyl chain lengths (C4H9, C5H11, C7H15, C9H19, C11H23). All used TAAILs are suitable for the stabilization of Ru- and Ir-NPs over months in the IL dispersion. Different from all other investigations on M-NP/IL systems which we are aware of the particle separation properties of the TAAILs vary strongly as a function of the aryl substituent. Good NP separation can be achieved with the 4-methoxyphenyl- and 2,4-dimethylphenyl-substituted ILs, irrespective of the 3-alkyl chain lengths. Significant aggregation can be observed for 2-methylphenyl-substituted ILs. The good NP separation can be correlated with a negative electrostatic potential at the 4-methoxyphenyl or 4-methylphenyl substituent that is in the para-position of the aryl ring, whereas the 2-(ortho-)methylphenyl group assumes no negative potential. ε-ePC-SAFT calculations were used to validate that the interactions between ILs and the washing agents (required for TEM analyses) do not cause the observed aggregation/separation behaviour of the M-NPs. Ru-NPs were investigated as catalysts for the solvent-free hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexane under mild conditions (70 °C, 10 bar) with activities up to 760 (mol cyclohexane)/(mol Ru)−1 h−1 and over 95% conversion in ten consecutive runs for Ru-NPs. No significant loss of catalytic activity could be observed.

Introduction

Transition metal nanoparticles (M-NPs) attract wide interest due to their various applications in medicine,1,2 optics,3,4 electronics5 and luminescence.6 The large surface area resulting from the small size of M-NPs supplies a variety of opportunities in the catalysis.7–10 Ruthenium nanoparticles (Ru-NPs) are attractive catalysts because of their lower economical price compared to other noble metals such as palladium or platinum. The selectivity of Ru-NP catalysts for the hydrogenation of C=C carbon double bonds in alkenes or arenes11–13 allows an application in hydrogenation of benzene or cyclohexene to cyclohexane.14–17 Especially small NPs are interesting for the catalysis. However, the small size of M-NPs results in an agglomeration and aggregation in the process of Ostwald-ripening.18,19 To avoid agglomeration the M-NPs have to be stabilized sterically or electrostatically.20 The stabilization of M-NPs by capping ligands, surfactants or polymers results in a change of the surface properties with remark to applications in catalysis.21 Alternatively, ionic liquids (ILs) are utilized as stabilizing reagents and as solvents in the synthesis of NPs.22–24 Electrostatic and steric interactions play a role in the stabilization of M-NPs by ILs without any change in surface

Nanoscale
The measurements were done with the headspace module with dried sample container and crimp caps (Ø = 20 mm, with PTFE septum). The sample containers and crimp caps were dried at 70 °C for 2 days. The measurements were done at an oven temperature of 170 °C.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Table S1 and Fig. S1–S2 in the ESI†) was carried out with a Netzsch TG 209 F3 Tarsus, equipped with Al-crucible using a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹ under inert atmosphere (N₂).

All ion chromatographic measurements (IC) (Table S2 and Fig. S3–S12 in the ESI†) were carried out using a Dionex ICS 1100 instrument with suppressed conductivity detection. The suppressor (AERS 500, Dionex) was regenerated with an external water module. The system was equipped with the analytical column IonPac AS 22 from Dionex (4 × 250 mm) with the corresponding guard column AG 22 (4 × 50 mm), respectively. The instrument was controlled by Chromeleon® software (version 7.1.0.898). The injection volume was 25 μL. The standard eluent used was a 4.5 mmol L⁻¹ Na₂CO₃ + 1.0 mmol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃ mixture with an addition of 30 vol% acetonitrile (ACN).

Viscosities were measured using a Brookfield DV2T viscometer. 0.5 mL of the corresponding TAIL was dried in high vacuum to a water content below 100 ppm and was then measured from 20 °C to 80 °C in 5 K steps (see Tables S3–S6 in the ESI†).

IR-spectra were recorded on a Bruker Tensor 37 IR with ATR unit in the range of 4000–600 cm⁻¹.

The TEM imaging was performed on a Philips CM20 electron microscopy operated at 200 kV accelerating voltage. Samples were prepared using 200 μm carbon-coated copper grids. 0.05 mL of the NP/IL dispersion was dissolved in 0.5 mL ACN and one drop of the diluted dispersion was placed on the grid. After 30 minutes, the grid was washed with 3 mL ACN and dried in a nitrogen stream. The size distribution was determined manually or with the aid of a digital micrograph from at least 500 individual particles at different positions on the TEM grid with the same magnifications.

Examples of selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns (Fig. S43–S50 in the ESI†) have been recorded with a Philips CM20 TEM, operated at 200 kV accelerating voltage. For each acquisition, a sample region with a significant amount of material was placed inside the aperture. The object was illuminated with wide-spread parallel beam obtained using focused diffraction patterns. The diffraction images were calibrated with Debye–Scherrer patterns recorded from a gold reference sample (S106, Plano GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany).

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns, PXRDs were measured at ambient temperature on a Bruker D2-Phaser using a flat sample holder and Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.54182 Å, 35 kV) (Fig. S55 in the ESI†).

Conversion of benzene to cyclohexane was determined by gas chromatography (GC) (PerkinElmer 8500 HSB 6, equipped with a DB-5 film capillary column, 60 m × 0.32 mm, film thickness 25 μm, oven temperature 33 °C, N₂ carrier flow 105 L min⁻¹, 180 °C injection temperature, 75 °C auxiliary gas (Aux) temperature and a flame ionization detector (FID), 250 °C detector temperature).

Experimental section

Coulometric Karl Fischer titration (Table S2†) was performed with an ECH/Analytik Jena AQUA 40.00 Karl Fischer titrator. The measurements were done with the headspace module
The electrostatic surface potentials (ESP) of the different IL cations were calculated on the ground state geometry calculated with Gaussian 16 at the B3LYP/6-311+g(d,p) level of theory. All structures were verified as minima by vibrational frequency analysis and the absence of negative eigenvalues. Results have been visualized using GaussView.

Synthesis of the TAAILs

The synthesis of the TAAILs was a two step synthesis (Scheme 1) starting from substituted aryl imidazoles. The first step was the alkylation of the aryl imidazoles by bromoalkanes to yield the IL-cations with bromide anions. In the second step, the anion (bromide) was exchanged with LiNTf₂. The detailed synthesis and characterization of the TAAILs is reported in the ESI.

M-NP synthesis

Decomposition by microwave heating was carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. The metal carbonyls were dissolved/suspended (for about 12 h) at room temperature in the TAAILs. Mass of the metal carbonyls was set for 0.5 or 1.0 wt% M-NP in IL dispersion. The mixture was placed in a microwave (CEM, Discover) and irradiated for 10 min at a power of 50 W to give a temperature of about 230 °C in the reaction mixture (Scheme 2). A black NP dispersion was reproducibly obtained by repeated decompositions of the precursors in the TAAILs.

Small M-NPs with an average size below 4 nm and small size dispersions of mostly ±0.1–0.3 nm were readily obtained, according to TEM analysis (Table 1). The small particle size is explained by the microwave assisted synthesis. Rapid heating...
to above 230 °C within ~2 min by microwave irradiation leads to a rapid decomposition of the carbonyl precursors, hence a high rate for the formation of the nucleation sites. The large number of seeds thus formed, can only grow until the available starting material has been used up. Because ILs show a high dissipation factor (tan δ) for the conversion of microwave energy into heat, this leads to localized superheating. As soon as metal particles have formed, they will also absorb the microwave radiation, thereby creating ‘hot spots’ where the temperature will be even higher than the average temperature reading for the whole sample. Further, the small size is evidence that the growing NPs are effectively stabilized by the IL already in the early growth stages. We also note that the solid metal carbonyls were and had to be finely dispersed in the IL by stirring for several hours. Upon immediate microwave irradiation of little-dispersed metal carbonyl in IL hot spots are formed at the solid particles which melt the glass of the vial.

The crystalline parts of the M-NPs were phase pure face centered cubic (fcc) Ru or fcc Ir metal as seen from selected area electron diffraction (SAED) (Fig. S43–S50 in the ESI†). Each sample was analyzed on a large scale and TEM images were taken from different positions on the grid to ensure the representative nature of the depicted aggregation. Complete decomposition of the metal carbonyl was ascertained by the characteristic carbonyl stretches between 2057–1982 cm⁻¹ by attenuated total reflection infrared spectroscopy (ATR-IR) (Fig. 2 and Fig. S13–S26 in the ESI†).

**Ru-NPs in TAAILs**

Fig. 3 shows representative TEM pictures of the Ru-NPs obtained from the decomposition of Ru₃(CO)₁₂ in different TAAILs. The average particle size is between 2.2 and 3.9 nm with size distributions as standard deviation (σ) usually between ±0.1–0.3 nm (Table 1). The 2-methylphenyl-substituted TAAILs 2a and 2b lead to an aggregation of the primary NPs.

The crystalline parts of the M-NPs were phase pure face centered cubic (fcc) Ru or fcc Ir metal as seen from selected area electron diffraction (SAED) (Fig. S43–S50 in the ESI†). Each sample was analyzed on a large scale and TEM images were taken from different positions on the grid to ensure the representative nature of the depicted aggregation. Complete decomposition of the metal carbonyl was ascertained by the characteristic carbonyl stretches between 2057–1982 cm⁻¹ by attenuated total reflection infrared spectroscopy (ATR-IR) (Fig. 2 and Fig. S13–S26 in the ESI†).

**Ir-NP in TAAILs**

Fig. 4 shows representative TEM pictures of the iridium-nanoparticles (Ir-NPs) obtained from the decomposition of Ir₄(CO)₁₂ in different TAAILs. The average particle size is between 1.3 and 2.4 nm with size distributions as standard deviation (σ) usually between ±0.1–0.2 nm (Table 1). The Ir-NPs are consistently slightly smaller than the Ru-NPs (Table 1). This can be explained by either a faster decomposition rate for Ir₄(CO)₁₂ over Ru₃(CO)₁₂, hence more rapid nucleation for Ir over Ru, or a slower growth rate for Ir over Ru. Thermogravimetry shows an earlier onset for Ru₃(CO)₁₂ than Ir₄(CO)₁₂ decomposition (Fig. S2†). Yet, this difference in thermodynamic stability does not reflect the reaction kinetics for decomposition, cluster nucleation and growth when the reaction energy is available. With a limited amount of precursor, a faster nucleation rate will lead to smaller particles. The same is true for slower nucleation together with a much slower growth rate.

The primary Ru-NPs which were obtained in the 4-methoxyphenyl- or 2,4-dimethylphenyl-substituted TAAILs 3b, 3c and 4b were well separated. The Ru-NPs in 3-pentyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imidazolium IL (3b) form a close-packed array when brought onto the TEM grid (Fig. 3). Each NP is surrounded mostly by six, occasionally also five or seven other NPs.

To determine whether TAAILs provide a better separation compared to “common” 1,3-dialkylimidazolium ILs, Ru-NPs were synthesized in [BMIm]NTf₂ (1) following the same synthesis route. The NPs are clearly more aggregated than the NPs in the TAAILs 3b, 3c and 4b.
Ru-NPs with the IL 3b. Only the primary Ir-NPs, which were obtained in the 2,4-dimethylphenyl-substituted TAAILs 4b, 4d and 4e, were rather well separated (Fig. 4). Ir-NPs which were synthesized in 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (1) were again clearly more aggregated than the NPs in the TAAILs 2d, 4b, 4d and 4e.

In view of the very small size range of both the Ru- and Ir-NPs, respectively, a possible influence of the 3-alkyl chain length of the imidazolium cation in the TAAIL on the particles size is not evident (Fig. S41 in the ESI†). In the literature, longer alkyl chain lengths are reported to cause either an increase55,56 or decrease57 in NP size. For Ru-NPs, the interaction energy of imidazolium cations with long alkyl chain lengths (C > 6) was found to be higher than the interaction energy with short alkyl chain lengths (C = 4).58 Other sources state a non-existing influence between alkyl chain length and particle size.17

Analysis of NP aggregation in TAAILs

All used TAAILs are suitable for the microwave-induced synthesis of Ru- and Ir-NPs, but they induce different separation or aggregation effects of the NPs.

In summary, good NP segregation was largely observed for the 4-methoxyphenyl-substituted TAAILs 3 (for Ru) and for the 2,4-dimethylphenyl-substituted TAAILs 4 (for both Ru and Ir, Fig. 5). Whereas for 2-methylphenyl-substituted TAAILs 2 (for both Ru and Ir, Fig. 5), a significant aggregation was found.

Especially, the strong influence of the 4-methylphenyl substituent on the aryl ring, which prevents NP aggregation, is surprising. We therefore attempted to evaluate the substitution effect by calculating the electrostatic surface potentials (ESP). Fig. 6 shows the ESP plots of the imidazolium cations of the ILs 2b, 3b and 4b. The calculations suggest that both the oxygen atom of the 4-methoxy (3b) and the 4-methyl (4b) group assume a negative electrostatic potential, which seems to be crucial for low NP aggregation. The 2-methylphenyl-substituent in the TAAILs 2 and 4 has no negative electrostatic potential on the ortho position. We note that the highly negative electrostatic potential at the terminal methyl group of the alkyl chain does not seem to contribute to the segregation or aggregation effect of the TAAILs.

We also investigated the viscosity and density of the TAAILs (Table 2 and Tables S3–S6 in the ESI†). The viscosity measurements indicate that all TAAILs have an intermediate viscosity.
between 419 and 690 cP. As viscosity decreases in a logarithmic function with temperature, these differences become almost negligible at the reaction temperature of 230 °C. Therefore, there is no effect of the viscosity on the formation of the M-NPs. Also, the viscosity range of the ILs at 20 °C between 419 to 690 cP is not large enough to rationalize the observed aggregation differences. The differences of the densities of the TAAILs are also too small to be taken as the basis of any change in the aggregation of the NPs.

**NP–NP aggregation is not mediated by IL–solvent interactions**

It might be possible that the aggregation of the NPs is an artefact of the TEM grid preparation. The TEM samples have been prepared by diluting 0.05 mL of the NP/IL dispersion with 0.5 mL ACN. One drop of this dispersion was placed on the TEM grid and dried in a nitrogen stream. After 30 minutes, the grid was carefully washed with 3 mL ACN and dried again. The interactions of the ILs with the solvents upon washing might hinder or enhance the self-interactions of the M-NPs; this solvent-mediated effect might explain the aggregation/separation behaviour of the M-NPs observed in the TEM. Thus, to rule out a possible artefact of the TEM images through the washing procedure of the sample preparation, a possible correlation between the solubility of the TAAILs in the washing agents (i.e. the solvents) and the aggregation of the M-NPs was investigated. The four TAAILs 2b, 3b, 3e and 4b have been considered as they cause different M-NP aggregation effects.

Therefore, an IL solubility screening in different solvents (water, n-hexane, and in the two washing agents dichloromethane (DCM) and ACN) was performed by means of thermodynamic model predictions. In this work, ε-ePC-SAFT equation of state was used for that purpose. ε-ePC-SAFT is a new model for systems containing ILs. It was successfully used for the modelling of solubility in systems containing ILs and is an extension to the original ePC-SAFT. For further information on the method and its application, see section 8 in the ESL. To exclude solvent-mediated interactions of the washing agents on the aggregation/separation behaviour of the M-NPs, qualitative statement on the solubility predictions with ε-ePC-SAFT is summarized in Table 3.

All mixtures of ACN + IL and DCM + IL were predicted to be completely miscible. Thus, this phase behaviour is independent of the kind of IL; this is a first hint for the fact that solvent-IL interactions are not responsible for aggregation effects. The modelling was performed without using binary interaction parameters and thus, the predicted miscibility had to be additionally verified two-fold. First, 1 : 1 (v : v) mixtures of ACN + IL and DCM + IL were prepared, and a single stable liquid phase was observed for every mixture, i.e. phase separation did not occur within a period of one week. Second, to further confirm the predictions, the solubility of the ILs in water and in n-hexane was predicted with ε-ePC-SAFT. The calculated numerical results can be found in Table S12 in the ESL. To summarize these findings, inhomogeneity was predicted with ε-ePC-SAFT for all mixtures water + IL, and for all mixtures n-hexane + IL. The only exception was the mixture n-hexane + IL 4b, for which ε-ePC-SAFT did not predict the coexistence of two different phases; this result has a minor impact on the general findings. As to be expected, the solubility of the considered ILs in water decrease with increasing hydrophobic tail, and _vice versa_ for the IL solubility in n-hexane. To make the statement more quantitative, the exact values of the activity coefficients were investigated for IL–ACN and IL–DCM mixtures. This was verified by a comparison of the activity coefficients of all ILs under investigation in the mixtures. Activity coefficients lower than unity indicate that the IL is attracted to the solvent resulting in homogenous mixtures. These ε-ePC-SAFT predicted results are shown in Fig. 7. It can be observed that all activity coefficients are significantly lower than unity (0.05–0.25) and comparable in an absolute scale. The interactions between IL–ACN are very similar to the interaction IL–DCM, suggesting that both solvents have a comparable influence on the grid preparation.

However, there are huge differences in activity coefficients within a homologous series. For increasing chain length the activity coefficients of the ILs decrease significantly, which indicates the strong dependency of the cation chain length on IL–solvent interactions. However, a dependency between aggre-

### Table 2 Viscosity and densities of different TAAILs measured at 20 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAAIL</th>
<th>Viscosity (cP)</th>
<th>Density (g cm⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ph₂-Me,Im,C₄]NTf₂ (2a)</td>
<td>419 ± 9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ph₂-Me,Im,C₅]NTf₂ (2b)</td>
<td>437 ± 9</td>
<td>1.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ph₄,OMe,Im,C₅]NTf₂ (3b)</td>
<td>591 ± 9</td>
<td>1.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ph₂,₄-Me,Im,C₅]NTf₂ (4b)</td>
<td>690 ± 9</td>
<td>1.349</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Measured at room temperature.

### Table 3 Overview on the miscibility of different solvents with the investigated ILs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent Ionic liquid</th>
<th>Water a</th>
<th>n-Hexane a</th>
<th>ACN b</th>
<th>DCM b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>LLE</td>
<td>LLE</td>
<td>Completely miscible*</td>
<td>Completely miscible*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>LLE</td>
<td>LLE</td>
<td>Completely miscible*</td>
<td>Completely miscible*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3d</td>
<td>LLE</td>
<td>LLE</td>
<td>Completely miscible*</td>
<td>Completely miscible*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>LLE</td>
<td>Completely miscible</td>
<td>Completely miscible*</td>
<td>Completely miscible*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a LLE = liquid–liquid equilibria. b Calculated miscibility is in agreement with IL-solvent mixing experiments which show no phase separation over one week.
Hydrogenation of benzene with Ru-NP produced in TAAILs

Ru-NPs with different degree of aggregation in the TAAILs 2b (agglomerated) and 4b (separated) (Fig. 3) were tested for their catalytic activity in the hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexane (Scheme 3).

The hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexane has been studied extensively, and is of great interest in the petroleum industry and for the industrial production of cyclohexane. In Diesel fuel the aromatic content needs to be reduced by hydrogenation to fulfill environmental regulations. Cyclohexane is important for the synthesis of fine chemicals and is used, for example, as a precursor for the production of nylon-6 and nylon-6,6.

Freshly synthesized Ru-NPs were used in a Büchi high-pressure, stainless-steel autoclave under IL- and organic solvent-free conditions. The autoclave was charged with the Ru-NPs (4 mg) and the substrate (0.9 g). The autoclave was purged with H₂ at least three times and was heated to 70 °C. After reaching the reaction temperature, the autoclave was pressurized with 10 bar of H₂. The reaction was stopped at maximal conversion and the product was analyzed by head-space gas chromatography. The hydrogenation runs were repeated with catalyst recycling by removing the volatile product (and possibly remaining substrate) through the gas phase in vacuum and charging the autoclave with fresh substrate under inert conditions. At the end, the Ru-NPs were checked by TEM, SAED and PXRD for differences to the pre-catalysis state (Fig. S54 and S55 in the ESI†). Also the TOF values were calculated for 95% conversion because the reaction rate decreases with the decrease in benzene substrate concentration (Fig. 8, Table 4).

It could be observed that the activity of the Ru/4b catalyst increased up to the eighth run to reach a TOF of 760 h⁻¹. The conversion was nearly quantitative for all hydrogenation runs. The increase in activity could result from surface cleaning of adsorbed and deactivating solvent, carbon or oxygen species, or an initially present CO cover of active sites, which has to be removed first. After ten runs the Ru-NPs grew from 3 to 4 nm and began to agglomerate (Fig. S54 in the ESI†), even if there was no significant loss of catalytic activity. Also, after ten runs, the nanoparticles had assumed a slime-like texture, which did not even solidify with several washing steps.

Even before catalysis, the crystallinity of the Ru-NPs was very low, as evidenced by the broad reflection between 40–50° 2theta (Fig. S55, ESI†). For an effective catalysis, the amount of Ru-NPs was kept as small as possible. These small amounts of Ru-NPs, which were subsequently available for PXRD analysis, did not allow obtaining a meaningful diffraction diagram (Fig. S55, ESI†). Alternatively, SAEDs were measured on TEM images of the nanoparticles (Fig. S54, ESI†), showing the fcc phase for the Ru-NPs before and after catalysis (Fig. 9).
Data and Table

Table 4 Hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexane with Ru-NPs produced in 4b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Conversion (b \times ) (%)</th>
<th>Time (c ) (min)</th>
<th>TOF (d ) ((\text{h}^{-1}))</th>
<th>TOF(_{\text{surface}}) (f ) ((\text{h}^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru/4b</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd run</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd run</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th run</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th run</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th run</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th run</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th run</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th run</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th run</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/4b/CS(_2) (g)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/2b</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd run</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd run</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(b\) Hydrogenation reaction at 70 °C, 10 bar \(\text{H}_2\) using Ru-NPs produced in 4b. \(^c\) Calculated based on the \(\text{H}_2\)-consumption. \(^d\) Controlled by headspace GC. \(^e\) Turnover frequency = activity as [mol cyclohexane] [mol Ru] \(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) based on total quantity of Ru present in the nanomaterial. \(^f\) Turnover frequency = activity as [mol cyclohexane] [mol Ru] \(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) based on the estimated mol [Ru] at the NP surface; see ESI for estimation of surface atoms. \(^g\) CS\(_2\) (0.02 mmol) was dissolved in benzene. The mixture was added to the Ru-NPs before pressurizing the reactor. No conversion could be detected by headspace GC.

Fig. 9 SAEDs of 1.0 wt% Ru-NPs in 4b from Ru\(_3\)(CO)\(_{12}\) before (a) and after catalysis (b) (Ru reference peaks in red from COD 1534914, face centered cubic (fcc) structure with space group \(\text{Fm}3\text{m}\)).

The catalysis was repeated with the strongly agglomerated Ru-NPs produced in 2b to compare these results with the separated Ru-NPs produced in 4b (Table 4, Fig. S53 in the ESI†). The activity of Ru/2b was much lower than the activity of Ru/4b with a maximal TOF of 79 h\(^{-1}\) in the second hydrogenation run. We suggest that the strong aggregation blanks the surface of the Ru-NPs.

As stated in previous works, Ru-NPs can act as reservoirs of active Ru clusters, atoms, or ions, in a mechanism that leaches from the Ru-NPs to act as homogeneous catalysts.\(^{39,72}\) To test whether the Ru catalyst is homogeneous or heterogeneous we added a well-known ruthenium catalyst poison, CS\(_2\). Finke \textit{et al.}\(^{73,74}\) described this method before. In a heterogeneous catalyst, only a fraction of metal-particle is found on the surface and is catalytically active, so it is possible to poison the catalyst completely with less than 1 equiv. CS\(_2\).\(^{75}\) For a molecular, homogeneous catalyst ≥1 equiv. CS\(_2\) is needed to poison the catalyst. To poison the catalyst Ru/4b 0.41 equiv. CS\(_2\) (corresponding to the surface atoms of Ru/4b) were added to the substrate. Even after 4 h, no benzene hydrogenation could be detected (Table 4) which is a strong evidence for a heterogeneous catalyst.

Conclusions

The microwave-assisted thermal decomposition of exemplifying ruthenium and iridium carbonyls indicates a good stabilization of very small M-NPs by TAAILs. The phase purity and absence of carbonyl groups were proven by selected area electron diffraction and attenuated total reflection infrared spectroscopy. Among the different aryl substitution patterns and in comparison to 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (1) strong aggregation effects of the primary NPs are evident for 1 and the 2-methylphenyl-substituted ILs (2) while the 4-methoxypyphenyl- (3) and 2,4-dimethylphenyl-substituted ILs (4) lead to well separated particles. This aggregation difference could be traced to the differences in the electron density of the substituents on the phenyl group, such that the 4-methoxy and 4-methyl groups assume a higher negative electrostatic potential. The 2-methyl substituent on the phenyl ring in the phenylimidazolium cation is an easy measure to achieve well-separated M-NPs if aggregation is a problem and is desired to be avoided.

Aggregation effects induced by interactions of the washing agents with the ILs in the TEM preparation could be ruled out by \(\epsilon\)-ePC-SAFT modelling of activity coefficients and IL solubility in the solvents.

Separated Ru-NPs produced in 4b were re-usable catalysts for the hydrogenation of benzene. The hydrogenation was successful with turnover frequencies up to 760 h\(^{-1}\) over ten catalysis runs, whereas agglomerated Ru-NPs produced in 2b show low activity up to 79 h\(^{-1}\). Further investigation can focus on supplemental separation of high agglomerated M-NPs like magnetic cobalt or iron nanoparticles.
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