

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368


Editors: W.T.A. Harrison, J. Simpson and M. Weil

Redetermination of diaquatetrakis(dimethylformamide- κ O)magnesium dichloride

Guido J. Reiss, Ishtvan Boldog and Christoph Janiak

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m1109–m1110

This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/uk/legalcode>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are cited.



Acta Crystallographica Section E: Structure Reports Online is the IUCr's highly popular open-access structural journal. It provides a simple and easily accessible publication mechanism for the growing number of inorganic, metal-organic and organic crystal structure determinations. The electronic submission, validation, refereeing and publication facilities of the journal ensure very rapid and high-quality publication, whilst key indicators and validation reports provide measures of structural reliability. The journal publishes over 4000 structures per year. The average publication time is less than one month.

Crystallography Journals **Online** is available from journals.iucr.org

Redetermination of diaquatetrakis-(dimethylformamide- κ O)magnesium dichloride

Guido J. Reiss, Ishtvan Boldog and Christoph Janiak*

Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie, Heinrich Heine Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstrasse 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: janiak@uni-duesseldorf.de


Received 17 June 2011; accepted 6 July 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 113$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{N}-\text{C}) = 0.001$ Å; R factor = 0.021; wR factor = 0.048; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.0.

The crystal structure of the title compound, $[\text{Mg}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_7\text{NO})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\text{Cl}_2$, in which the Mg ion lies on a crystallographic inversion centre, confirms that of the previous room-temperature study [Pavanello *et al.* (1995). *Main Group Met. Chem.* **18**, 9–19]. This redetermination at 113 K has improved geometry precision by almost an order of magnitude [e.g. Mg–O(w) (w = water) distances = 2.094 (4) and 2.0899 (7) Å in the old and new structures, respectively] and allowed the water H atoms to be located and their positions refined. In the crystal, O–H...Cl hydrogen bonds between the two aqua ligands of the complex molecule and neighboring chloride counter-anions generate supramolecular chains propagating along [010]. The dicationic $[\text{Mg}(\text{DMF})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$ unit (DMF is dimethylformamide) adopts a slightly distorted octahedral geometry in which the Mg atom is coordinated by four DMF O atoms in a pseudo-tetragonal arrangement and two *trans* aqua ligands.

Related literature

For the previous structure determination, see: Pavanello *et al.* (1995). For related structures, see: Lebioda & Lewiński (1980); Castro *et al.* (2010). For discussion of hydrogen bonds, see: Etter *et al.* (1990); Janiak *et al.* (1996). Dorn *et al.* (2005); Aakeröy *et al.* (2010).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{Mg}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_7\text{NO})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\text{Cl}_2$

$M_r = 423.62$

Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$

$a = 8.0284$ (3) Å

$b = 8.0748$ (3) Å

$c = 8.8373$ (4) Å

$\alpha = 90.803$ (3)°

$\beta = 91.330$ (3)°

$\gamma = 111.563$ (4)°

$V = 532.51$ (4) Å³

$Z = 1$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\mu = 0.37$ mm⁻¹

$T = 113$ K

0.40 × 0.25 × 0.10 mm

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Eos diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(*CrysAlis PRO*; Oxford

Diffraction, 2010)

$T_{\min} = 0.683$, $T_{\max} = 1.000$

8374 measured reflections

2443 independent reflections

2381 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$

3 standard frames every 30 min

intensity decay: none

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$

$wR(F^2) = 0.048$

$S = 1.07$

2443 reflections

136 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.29$ e Å⁻³

$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.18$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Mg–O1	2.0221 (6)	Mg–O3	2.0899 (7)
Mg–O2	2.0839 (7)		

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{O3}-\text{H31}\cdots\text{Cl}^i$	0.810 (16)	2.348 (16)	3.1528 (8)	172.6 (14)
$\text{O3}-\text{H32}\cdots\text{Cl}^i$	0.817 (17)	2.326 (18)	3.1408 (8)	175.4 (15)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, -y - 1, -z$.

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2010); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO*; data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Crystal Impact, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *pubCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5919).

References

- Aakeröy, C., Champness, N. R. & Janiak, C. (2010). *CrystEngComm*, **12**, 22–43.
- Castro, L. F., Almeida, T. C., Soares Júnior, A. L., Yoshida, M. I., Machado, F. C., Diniz, R. & de Oliveira, L. F. C. (2010). *Vib. Spectrosc.* **54**, 112–117.
- Crystal Impact (2009). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Dorn, T., Janiak, C. & Abu-Shandi, K. (2005). *CrystEngComm*, **7**, 633–641.
- Etter, M. C., MacDonald, J. C. & Bernstein, J. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* **B46**, 256–262.
- Janiak, C., Scharmann, T. G., Günther, W., Hinrichs, W. & Lentz, D. (1996). *Chem. Ber.* **129**, 991–995.
- Lebioda, Ł. & Lewiński, K. (1980). *Acta Cryst.* **B36**, 693–695.
- Oxford Diffraction (2010). *CrysAlis PRO*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
- Pavanello, L., Marigo, A., Bresadola, S. & Valle, G. (1995). *Main Group Met. Chem.* **18**, 9–19.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m1109-m1110 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811027073]

Redetermination of diaquatetrakis(dimethylformamide- κ O)magnesium dichloride

G. J. Reiss, I. Boldog and C. Janiak

Comment

In the structure of the title compound the complex cation *trans*-[Mg(H₂O)₂(DMF)₄]²⁺ (DMF = HCON(CH₃)₂) is located on a center of symmetry. Two DMF ligands show significantly shorter Mg—O bond lengths with 2.0221 (6) Å than the two other DMF ligands and the two aqua ligands (2.0839 (7), 2.0899 (7) Å, respectively).

This distortion is in accord with the reported room temperature structure of the title compound [2.021 (5), 2.094 (5) and 2.094 (4) Å, respectively] (Pavanello *et al.*, 1995) and the structure of [MgK₂(croconate violet)(H₂O)₄] where one of the two Mg—O(croconate) bond distances is significantly longer (2.128 Å) than the other one or the Mg—O(H₂O) bond distance (2.072 (1), 2.053 (2) Å, respectively) (Castro *et al.*, 2010). Whereas the structure of [Mg(H₂O)₂{OC(NH₂)₂}₂]Br₂ has similar Mg—O(urea) bond distances of 2.050 (1) and 2.078 (1) Å and a longer Mg—O(H₂O) contact (2.108 (2) Å) (Lebioda & Lewiński, 1980).

Hydrogen bonding as a primary interaction in crystal engineering and supramolecular chemistry is of continuous interest (Aakeröy *et al.*, 2010). The hydrogen bonding between the aqua ligands and the chloride counter anions is in the typical range (Dorn *et al.*, 2005; Janiak *et al.*, 1996). The cyclic hydrogen bond motif (Fig.1) which is formed by two aqua ligands of neighboring complexes and two chloride anions features the well known $R_2^4(8)$ motif (Etter *et al.*, 1990).

Fig. 1 shows the molecular structure with the hydrogen bonding from the aqua ligands to the chloride ions which leads to the supramolecular chain.

Experimental

Synthesis

42 mg (0.44 mmol) of anhydrous MgCl₂ (Merck, >98%) and 500 µL of DMF (ACS, H₂O content max. 0.1%) in a 1.5 ml vial were shaken at r.t. until complete dissolution of the solid. 16 mL of H₂O (0.88 mmol) were added to the formed solution at once, the solution was homogenized and the vial was placed in an oven preheated at 70°C. After one day the vial was cooled down to r.t. with a rate of 2 K/h to yield large and thick plates of perfect optical quality with dimensions significantly outreaching the millimeter scale. Rapid cooling in an alternative experiment resulted in complete crystallization within one hour. Yet, the formed crystals were of lower quality and their opaqueness indicated some solvent occlusion. The crystalline product redissolves readily in the mother liquor under heating.

The isolated crystals deliquesce quickly in air, whereby hindering the exact determination of the yield. A repeated experiment was performed and the crystals were separated by decanting-off the mother solution, washing the residue with 3 × 1 ml of diethyl ether and drying it in an argon stream during a few minutes thus yielding 140 mg (75%) of product.

supplementary materials

For IR (ATR) measurements a few transparent crystals were separated directly from the mother solution, dried on a filter paper, ground and measured immediately allowing less than one minute contact with air. IR (ATR): ν (cm^{-1}) = 3226(s, br, sh), 2933(m), 1649(vs), 1501(m), 1445(m), 1433(m), 1417(m), 1394(s), 1252(m), 1116(s), 1063(m), 867(w), 679(s). The ether washed product had the same spectrum but with an additional weak line at 805 cm^{-1} .

Refinement

A single-crystal suitable for structure determination was harvested from the mother liquor and was directly transferred into the cooling stream of an Oxford-Xcalibur diffractometer equipped with an EOS-CCD detector at 113 K. In the final stages of the refinement the anisotropic displacement parameters of all non-hydrogen atoms were refined.

The hydrogen atoms of the C—H group of the DMF ligand and the hydrogen atoms of the water ligand were refined freely with individual U_{iso} values. The hydrogen atoms of the methyl groups were introduced using a riding model (*SHELXL*; AFIX 137).

Figures




Fig. 1. : Molecular structure of $[\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\{(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NCHO}\}_4]\text{Cl}_2$. Hydrogen bonds are indicated as dashed lines (see Table for bond distances and angles). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 60% probability level; H atoms as spheres of arbitrary radii.

Diaquatetrakis(dimethylformamide- κ O)magnesium dichloride

Crystal data

$[\text{Mg}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_7\text{NO})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\text{Cl}_2$

$M_r = 423.62$

Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: $-P\ 1$

$a = 8.0284$ (3) Å

$b = 8.0748$ (3) Å

$c = 8.8373$ (4) Å

$\alpha = 90.803$ (3)°

$\beta = 91.330$ (3)°

$\gamma = 111.563$ (4)°

$V = 532.51$ (4) Å³

$Z = 1$

$F(000) = 226$

$D_x = 1.321\text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 9519 reflections

$\theta = 3.1\text{--}31.8^\circ$

$\mu = 0.37\text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 113\text{ K}$

Plate, colourless

$0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.10\text{ mm}$

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Eos diffractometer

2381 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$
graphite	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 5.1^\circ$
ω scans	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Oxford Diffraction, 2010)	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.683$, $T_{\text{max}} = 1.000$	$l = -11 \rightarrow 11$
8374 measured reflections	3 standard reflections every 30 min
2443 independent reflections	intensity decay: none

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.048$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.01P)^2 + 0.25P]$
$S = 1.07$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2443 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
136 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
	Extinction coefficient: 0.058 (3)

Special details

Experimental. *CrysAlisPro*, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Version 1.171.34.44 Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm (Oxford Diffraction, 2010).

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Mg	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00919 (10)
Cl	0.24638 (3)	-0.39591 (3)	0.17847 (3)	0.01591 (8)
O1	0.24626 (9)	0.07858 (9)	0.10106 (8)	0.01376 (14)
C11	0.37264 (12)	0.22376 (12)	0.12339 (10)	0.01210 (18)
H11	0.3638 (16)	0.3329 (16)	0.0934 (13)	0.014 (3)*

supplementary materials

N1	0.52502 (10)	0.23979 (10)	0.19295 (9)	0.01220 (16)
C12	0.66252 (13)	0.41472 (13)	0.22648 (12)	0.0181 (2)
H121	0.6217	0.5055	0.1910	0.027*
H122	0.7705	0.4241	0.1767	0.027*
H123	0.6858	0.4296	0.3338	0.027*
C13	0.56012 (13)	0.08489 (13)	0.24367 (13)	0.0190 (2)
H131	0.4636	-0.0216	0.2097	0.029*
H132	0.5696	0.0877	0.3522	0.029*
H133	0.6702	0.0862	0.2026	0.029*
O2	0.11438 (9)	0.09777 (9)	-0.20529 (7)	0.01321 (14)
C21	0.04046 (12)	0.16098 (12)	-0.30109 (10)	0.01128 (18)
H21	-0.0740 (16)	0.1740 (15)	-0.2843 (13)	0.012 (3)*
N2	0.10760 (11)	0.21837 (10)	-0.43429 (9)	0.01286 (17)
C22	0.00617 (15)	0.27866 (13)	-0.54511 (11)	0.0188 (2)
H221	-0.0977	0.2868	-0.4989	0.028*
H222	-0.0306	0.1953	-0.6292	0.028*
H223	0.0801	0.3936	-0.5802	0.028*
C23	0.28056 (14)	0.21630 (14)	-0.47797 (12)	0.0186 (2)
H231	0.3473	0.2065	-0.3893	0.028*
H232	0.3459	0.3246	-0.5282	0.028*
H233	0.2625	0.1164	-0.5453	0.028*
O3	0.02957 (10)	-0.24245 (9)	-0.04443 (8)	0.01385 (15)
H31	0.094 (2)	-0.2735 (19)	0.0112 (17)	0.030 (4)*
H32	-0.046 (2)	-0.333 (2)	-0.0815 (19)	0.039 (4)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Mg	0.0095 (2)	0.0089 (2)	0.0092 (2)	0.00346 (16)	-0.00043 (15)	0.00063 (15)
Cl	0.01812 (12)	0.01091 (11)	0.01905 (13)	0.00586 (9)	-0.00115 (8)	-0.00009 (8)
O1	0.0110 (3)	0.0130 (3)	0.0159 (3)	0.0029 (3)	-0.0024 (2)	0.0007 (3)
C11	0.0140 (4)	0.0124 (4)	0.0107 (4)	0.0057 (4)	0.0016 (3)	0.0010 (3)
N1	0.0102 (4)	0.0101 (4)	0.0154 (4)	0.0026 (3)	0.0004 (3)	-0.0009 (3)
C12	0.0130 (4)	0.0135 (4)	0.0240 (5)	0.0008 (4)	0.0002 (4)	-0.0050 (4)
C13	0.0130 (4)	0.0159 (5)	0.0294 (6)	0.0071 (4)	-0.0036 (4)	0.0018 (4)
O2	0.0143 (3)	0.0143 (3)	0.0119 (3)	0.0063 (3)	0.0014 (2)	0.0027 (2)
C21	0.0127 (4)	0.0081 (4)	0.0122 (4)	0.0030 (3)	0.0005 (3)	-0.0013 (3)
N2	0.0161 (4)	0.0115 (4)	0.0108 (4)	0.0048 (3)	0.0011 (3)	0.0006 (3)
C22	0.0289 (5)	0.0159 (5)	0.0131 (5)	0.0099 (4)	-0.0020 (4)	0.0022 (4)
C23	0.0185 (5)	0.0189 (5)	0.0171 (5)	0.0050 (4)	0.0074 (4)	0.0003 (4)
O3	0.0161 (3)	0.0106 (3)	0.0154 (3)	0.0058 (3)	-0.0029 (3)	-0.0009 (3)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Mg—O1 ⁱ	2.0221 (6)	C13—H132	0.9600
Mg—O1	2.0221 (6)	C13—H133	0.9600
Mg—O2	2.0839 (7)	O2—C21	1.2413 (11)
Mg—O2 ⁱ	2.0839 (7)	C21—N2	1.3237 (12)

Mg—O3 ⁱ	2.0899 (7)	C21—H21	0.977 (12)
Mg—O3	2.0899 (7)	N2—C23	1.4557 (12)
O1—C11	1.2461 (11)	N2—C22	1.4584 (12)
C11—N1	1.3182 (12)	C22—H221	0.9600
C11—H11	0.951 (12)	C22—H222	0.9600
N1—C13	1.4539 (12)	C22—H223	0.9600
N1—C12	1.4589 (12)	C23—H231	0.9600
C12—H121	0.9600	C23—H232	0.9600
C12—H122	0.9600	C23—H233	0.9600
C12—H123	0.9600	O3—H31	0.810 (16)
C13—H131	0.9600	O3—H32	0.817 (17)
O1 ⁱ —Mg—O1	180.00 (2)	H122—C12—H123	109.5
O1 ⁱ —Mg—O2	89.71 (3)	N1—C13—H131	109.5
O1—Mg—O2	90.29 (3)	N1—C13—H132	109.5
O1 ⁱ —Mg—O2 ⁱ	90.29 (3)	H131—C13—H132	109.5
O1—Mg—O2 ⁱ	89.71 (3)	N1—C13—H133	109.5
O2—Mg—O2 ⁱ	180.00 (6)	H131—C13—H133	109.5
O1 ⁱ —Mg—O3 ⁱ	86.14 (3)	H132—C13—H133	109.5
O1—Mg—O3 ⁱ	93.86 (3)	C21—O2—Mg	123.01 (6)
O2—Mg—O3 ⁱ	89.34 (3)	O2—C21—N2	124.11 (9)
O2 ⁱ —Mg—O3 ⁱ	90.66 (3)	O2—C21—H21	122.6 (7)
O1 ⁱ —Mg—O3	93.86 (3)	N2—C21—H21	113.3 (7)
O1—Mg—O3	86.14 (3)	C21—N2—C23	121.50 (8)
O2—Mg—O3	90.66 (3)	C21—N2—C22	120.72 (8)
O2 ⁱ —Mg—O3	89.34 (3)	C23—N2—C22	117.73 (8)
O3 ⁱ —Mg—O3	180.00 (4)	N2—C22—H221	109.5
C11—O1—Mg	135.31 (6)	N2—C22—H222	109.5
O1—C11—N1	123.58 (9)	H221—C22—H222	109.5
O1—C11—H11	121.5 (7)	N2—C22—H223	109.5
N1—C11—H11	114.9 (7)	H221—C22—H223	109.5
C11—N1—C13	121.47 (8)	H222—C22—H223	109.5
C11—N1—C12	121.02 (8)	N2—C23—H231	109.5
C13—N1—C12	117.48 (8)	N2—C23—H232	109.5
N1—C12—H121	109.5	H231—C23—H232	109.5
N1—C12—H122	109.5	N2—C23—H233	109.5
H121—C12—H122	109.5	H231—C23—H233	109.5
N1—C12—H123	109.5	H232—C23—H233	109.5
H121—C12—H123	109.5	H31—O3—H32	106.7 (15)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y, -z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
O3—H31 \cdots Cl	0.810 (16)	2.348 (16)	3.1528 (8)	172.6 (14)
O3—H32 \cdots Cl ⁱⁱ	0.817 (17)	2.326 (18)	3.1408 (8)	175.4 (15)

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x, -y-1, -z$.

Fig. 1

