Amino-functionalized MIL-101(Cr) photodegradation enhancement by sulfur-enriched copper sulfide nanoparticles: An experimental and DFT study
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A B S T R A C T

In the present work, a direct Z-scheme composite photocatalyst, NH2-MIL-101(Cr)@CuS, with high photodegradation efficiency of Rhodamine B (RhB) degradation in the visible light spectrum, is fabricated through a solvothermal method. It was found that the NH2-MIL-101(Cr)@CuS composite with an appropriate amount of NH2-MIL-101(Cr) exhibited high catalytic performance in the RhB photodegradation. The photocurrent density and results from the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis confirm the promoted photocatalytic activity of the NH2-MIL-101(Cr)@CuS composite compared to the pristine MIL-101(Cr) and CuS nanoparticles, which were supported by the electron lifetime (τe) calculations for the samples. The trapping experiments and Mott-Schottky analysis revealed that the superoxide radicals (•O2-) played an essential role in the photodegradation of RhB and the promoted photocatalytic activity contributed to a direct Z-scheme mechanism between CuS and NH2-MIL-101(Cr). Stability study also shows acceptable results during photocatalytic reaction. Furthermore, Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were performed to gain a better understanding of the electronic properties of the NH2-MIL-101(Cr)@CuS nanocomposite. The calculated band structures showed that the nanocomposite has a higher photocatalytic efficiency in the visible region compared to the pristine MIL-101(Cr) and CuS. The calculated band gap of both the semiconductors and the hybrid nanocomposite confirms the experimental results.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

The increase in urban and industrial activities of our societies has led to growing amounts of pollutants being released into the environment, which causes severe problems for water quality. The presence of organic dyes in water resources, used for example, in industrial processes for textiles, food, leather, paint or coatings, leads to many problems such as non-aesthetic, eutrophication, and they also endanger human health [1,2]. There are several traditional techniques for the remediation of dye molecules in wastewater, including physical and biological technologies that are, however, incapable of achieving efficient degradation of the dye molecules [3–8]. In recent years, interest has grown into the use of solar energy and semiconductor photocatalysis in water purification, e.g., the pollutants degradation process, the photocatalytic green fuel production, and the conversion of greenhouse molecules into fuels or chemicals [9–12]. Also, the specific functionalization of compounds for specific roles in their environment and targeting using green surfactants has a bright future [13,14]. Several photocatalytic materials, including metal oxides, metal sulfides, metal phosphides, and metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), have been studied for water purification through the photodegradation of pollutants molecules [15–19]. Some innovative findings include in the study on the composite products with enhanced morphology as well as the improved Z-scheme- charge-carriers separation and plasmon-induced injection for the photocatalytic process [20,21], increasing the utilization efficiency of visible light by doping [22], and the new combination of the process like the interaction between photocatalysts and microorganisms [23].

Copper (II) sulfide (CuS) is one of the most promising semiconductor materials with excellent optical, electronic, chemical, and thermal
properties [24–31]. It is a p-type semiconductor with a broad reported range for its bandgap (1.63–2.56 eV) [32,33]. CuS has attracted much attention as a co-catalyst to improve the photocatalytic performance of a wide range of materials, e.g., in combination with metal oxides and metal sulfides, as well as carbonaceous graphitic carbon nitride (g-CN), and also for the fabrication of efficient hybrid/composite materials with carbon-based materials like graphene oxide for energy conversion applications [34–37].

Metal-organic frameworks define as hybrid inorganic/organic crystalline materials designed as metal clusters and organic linkers, which have the potential application of impurity removal in aqueous solutions [38–41]. Flexibility in the linker design of MOFs, their high surface area and porosity have led to the use of MOFs in various scientific and technological fields, including gas storage, gas separation, drug delivery, sensors, supercapacitors, heat transfer, water adsorption, catalytic and photocatalytic applications [8,42–44]. Alvaro et al. [45] first proposed MOF-5 as a catalyst for the photodegradation of water contaminants, and after that, many types of research studies have focused on MOFs as photoactive materials for energy applications [45–48]. However, pure MOFs have drawbacks as photocatalysts, corresponding to a high electron-hole recombination rate and partial absorption of UV–visible irradiation [49–51]. Different groups of materials, including conventional semiconductor materials (TiO2, ZnO, CdS, ZnS), carbon-based materials (graphene oxide, g-CN), and even diverse types of MOFs have been used to fabricate active hybrid/composite photocatalysts with enhanced photocatalytic performance compared to pure MOFs and other parent materials [52–55]. Among these diverse strategies for developing efficient hybrid/composite photocatalysts, semiconductor@MOF photocatalysts have shown considerable advantages, resulting from the synergistic effect between MOFs and conventional semiconductors [56]. Many semiconductor nanoparticles have been used for developing semiconductor@MOF systems to date, including CdS@MIL-101(Cr), ZnO@ZIF-8, TiO2@Uio-66, ZnO@MOF-5, Cs2MIL-53(Fe), Cs2MIL-100(Fe), and Bi4S3@MIL-100(Fe) [56–60]. Recently, the main focus of researchers for developing new semiconductor@MOF photocatalysts is to identify new combinations of MOFs and semiconductor materials that use a direct Z-scheme charge separation mechanism, which, compared to traditional type-II band-to-band charge separation, shows higher redox capacity and more efficient charge carrier separation [61,62].

The primary purpose of this study was to explore the use of copper sulfide nanoparticles to enhance the photocatalytic efficiency of MOFs. The novel direct Z-scheme NH2-MIL-101(Cr)@CuS composite with different weight percentages of copper sulfide was developed for the operative photodegradation of RhB in the visible light irradiation. The high surface area amino-functionalized MIL-101(Cr) with water-stable structure was used as a matrix to disperse the nanoparticles of copper sulfide, reduce their agglomeration, and also reduce the electron-hole recombination rate in the resulting composite structures compared to the parent materials [63]. In the photocatalytic degradation of RhB, electrochemical and photoelectrochemical experiments showed the excellent photocatalytic activity of the composite, owing to the effective interactions with NH2-MIL-101(Cr) and copper sulfide nanoparticles, which may introduce these nanoparticles as a cost-effective, innocuous and operative co-catalyst for the development of photocatalytic composite systems based on MOFs.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Materials

All the chemicals (AR grade) were used without any further purification. Cu(NO3)2·3H2O (98%), thiouacetic acid (98%), Cr(NO3)3·9H2O (98%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Ethylene glycol (98%), NaOH (98%), and 2-Aminoterephthalic acid (99%) were purchased from Merck Company. Naflon solution (5%) was purchased from Alfa Aesar Company.

2.2. Synthesis of CuS nanoparticles

The CuS nanoparticles are synthesized via the hydrothermal approach [64]. For this purpose, about 0.1215 g (0.41 mmol) of Cu(NO3)2·3H2O and 0.0765 g (1 mmol) of thiouacetic acid (TAA) stirred for 30 min to dissolve in 10 mL of ethylene glycol at ambient temperature. The mixture was then transferred into a 20 mL Teflon-lined container within stainless-steel autoclave cover and heated at 150 °C for 24 h. After cooling in ambient air, the obtained nanoparticles were separated by centrifugation at 10000 rpm for 10 min. The precipitate was washed three times with DI water and ethanol. The powder dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight. The final weight of the obtained CuS after washing and drying was 0.0257 g (yield 34%).

2.3. Synthesis of NH2-MIL-101(Cr)

The NH2-MIL-101(Cr) nanoparticles were also synthesized via a hydrothermal method. Typically, 3.20 g (14.7 mmol) of Cr(NO3)3·9H2O and 1.44 g (8 mmol) of 2-Aminoterephthalic acid was added gradually into 60 mL of a solution of NaOH (0.33 M). The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min and then transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-coated stainless-steel autoclave and kept at 150 °C for 12 h. Afterwards, the cooled mixture was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 15 min to collect the precipitate. The separated powder had green color easily visible to the naked eye. The product washed sufficient times with water, DMF, and methanol, individually, and dried overnight at 100 °C. The final weight of the NH2-MIL-101(Cr) was 2.0 g (yield: 72%).

2.4. Synthesis of NH2-MIL-101(Cr)@CuS composite photocatalyst

In order to synthesize the NH2-MIL-101(Cr)@CuS composite, diverse quantities of NH2-MIL-101(Cr) (0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, and 0.25 g) were dispersed into ethylene glycol using ultrasound for 30 min. Then 0.1215 g (0.41 mmol) of Cu(NO3)2·3H2O was added to the suspension and mixed for 30 min. After the complete dissolution of Cu(NO3)2·3H2O, 0.0765 g (1 mmol) of TAA added to the suspension and stirred for another 30 min. The resulting mixture was transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated at 150 °C for 24 h. The samples are called after the initial weight of NH2-MIL-101(Cr) nanoparticles as 0.05-NM@CuS, 0.1-NM@CuS, 0.15-NM@CuS, 0.20-NM@CuS, and 0.25-NM@CuS for the products with 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, and 0.25 g of NH2-MIL-101(Cr), respectively.

2.5. Characterization

The powder diffraction x-ray analysis (PXRD) measurement of the samples was carried out at room temperature in a BRUKER D2 Phaser in 2θ angles with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54182 Å) at a voltage of 35 kV. The FT-IR spectra of the samples were recorded on a Bruker Tensor 37 IR spectrometer (Bruker Optics, Ettlingen, Germany) with the ATR unit. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed with a Jeol JSM-6510LV QSEM Advanced microscope with tungsten (Wolfram, W) cathode (5–20 keV). The Bruker XFlash 410 silicon drift detector was used in the microscope, and Bruker ESPRIT software applied for energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopic (EDX) analysis. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were taken on deposited samples on 200 μm carbon-coated gold grids using a FEI Tecnai G20, operating at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were recorded with a FEI Tecnai G2 F20. Averaged diffraction patterns of 3 measurements were recorded and described. HR-XPS (ESCA) measurements were conducted on a Fisons/VG Scientific ESCALAB 200x x-p spectrometer, functioning at 80 °C, a pressure of
1.0 × 10^{-9} \text{bar}, and a sampling angle of 33°. Polychromatic Al Kα excitation (11 kV, 20 mA) was used for recording spectra (emission angle: 0°, reference: carbon 1 s orbital with a binding energy of 284.8 eV). A Micrometrics ASAP 2020 automatic gas sorption analyzer, armed with oil-free vacuum pumps (ultimate vacuum <10^{-8} \text{ mbar}), was used to measure the sorption isotherms. The samples were attached to the preparation port of the device and were degassed under high vacuum for 6 h at 120 °C. Nitrogen sorption isotherms were measured at 77 K (liquid nitrogen cooling). UV–Visible measurements were carried out with a UV–Vis SPECORD S600 (2012) from Analytik Jena, a simultaneous measuring spectrophotometer with polychromator construction and measuring the range of 190–1100 nm. The photoluminescence analysis was done by a PerkinElmer (USA) (model LS50B). The chromium leached after the photocatalytic reaction was determined by ICP-OES (730-ES, VARIAN). All electrochemical and photoelectrochemical experiments were carried out by IVIUM potentiosat/galvanostat (VERTEX entry-level instrument).

2.6. Photocatalytic tests

The photocatalytic performance of the samples was determined by photodegradation of RhB under visible light irradiation (500 W xenon lamp, with a 420 nm UV–cutoff filter) in the open air at controlled room temperature. The xenon lamp was kept at a fixed distance to the photocatalytic reactor in order to provide the standard condition (100 mW/cm²) during photocatalytic tests. In a typical experiment, 10 mg of photocatalyst sample was dispersed into 100 mL of RhB aqueous solution (10 mg/L) in a 200 mL cylindrical Pyrex reactor vessel with a quartz window. The pH of the as-prepared suspension adjusted to 7.0. The suspension was sonicated for 15 min and then stirred magnetically in the dark condition for 2 h to reach the adsorption/desorption equilibrium. During the photocatalytic degradation reaction, 3 mL of the suspension was aliquoted every 10 min and centrifuged at 6000 rpm to separate the photocatalysts from the supernatant for analysis [65]. The concentration of RhB was calculated at the 552 nm absorption wavelength.

2.7. Electrochemical and photoelectrochemical measurements

A standard three-electrode configuration electrochemical cell with Ag/AgCl (sat. KCl) as a reference, Pt foil as a counter, and photocatalyst product coated on FTO substrate as a working electrode, were used for the electrochemical studies. 10 mg of the photocatalyst in 1 mL ethanol containing 30 μL Nafion solution 5 w/w% used to fabricate the working electrodes. The resulting suspension was irradiated with ultrasonic for 30 min, and after that, 10 μL of the suspension was drop-coated on the FTO substrate and dried overnight in a vacuum oven at 50 °C. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) (open circuit potential: 10^{5} and 10^{-2} \text{ Hz}), Mott-Schottky, and chronoamperometry tests were carried out using IVIUM potentiosat/galvanostat (VERTEX entry-level instrument), at 1000 Hz frequency in −1.5 V to 1 V potential range. The photocurrent density of the as-prepared samples was measured at the set potential of 0.35 V during 220 s with 10 s dark and light cut-off. All of the electrochemical measurements were performed under similar conditions in a 0.5 mol/L Na_{2}SO_{4} solution as the electrolyte.

2.8. Density functional theory (DFT) methodology

To have a better understanding of the interface between CuS nanoparticles and NH_{2}-MIL-110(Cr), the electronic properties of CuS nanoparticles and NH_{2}-MIL-110-Cr and their nanocomposite were systematically calculated using density functional theory (DFT) [66,67]. The Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [68,69] with the generalized gradient approximation of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [70] were performed for all calculations. The electron-ionic core interactions were described by the Projector augmented wave (PAW) potentials [71]. Since other studies show that the inclusion of the dispersive Van der Waals forces improves the energy description of the system [72], we have employed the method of Grimme, DFT-D3 [73]. To compute the acceptable bandgap energy consistent with the experiment, the PBE0 [74] hybrid functional was employed, which is implemented in the VASP code, as the literature has already shown that this functional predicts more accurate band gaps for Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) [75]. Since the most stable surface of CuS nanoparticles is the CuS(001) with Cu/S termination, which is the dominant surface observed on hexagonal-shaped CuS nanoparticles [76], we have investigated the interaction of the CuS (001) surface with NH_{2}-MIL-110(Cr). Brillouin zone integrals were approximated using the Monkhorst-Pack [77] scheme with the k-point density grid of 11 × 11 × 1 for the structural and density of states (DOS) calculations. A plane-wave cutoff energy of 560 eV was used. The geometry optimization was stopped when the total energy converged to within 10^{-6} \text{ eV}, and the force on each ion was less than 0.01 \text{ eV/Å}. To avoid interactions between periodic images, a vacuum space of more than 20 Å was set. The CuS(001) surface was modeled by a 4 × 4 supercell to make sure the cell is big enough to support NH_{2}-MIL-110(Cr) on top of the surface without interactions between periodic images. We have relaxed the NH_{2}-MIL-110(Cr) and the two top layers of the CuS(001) surface.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Characterization of NM@CuS composites

The PXRD patterns of the pure NH_{3}-MIL-101(Cr), the composite series, and pure CuS are presented in Fig. 1. The peak positions and diffraction intensities of NH_{3}-MIL-101 match the observed patterns, as well as the simulated XRD for MIL-101(Cr), reported in the literature [63,78,79]. The PXRD patterns of the CuS nanoparticles confirm the presence of CuS in the structure of the obtained composite samples. The main peaks of CuS nanoparticles appeared at 2θ = 28°, 29°, 30°, 48°, 52°, and 58°. In the composite structures, both the NH_{2}-MIL-101 (Cr) and CuS PXRD patterns are superimposed, which confirms the presence of both components in the final product. All composite samples show the same FT-IR spectra for functional peaks of NH_{2}-MIL-101(Cr) (Fig. S1). The SEM images of the pure NH_{2}-MIL-101(Cr), pure CuS, and NM@CuS composite samples are shown in Fig. 2. In order to determine the ratio of Cr, Cu, and S in the samples, EDX elemental analysis was carried out. The obtained data are shown in Table S1.

![Fig. 1. PXRD patterns of the as-prepared samples of NM@CuS, NH_{2}-MIL-101(Cr), and pristine CuS.](image-url)
The calculated copper and sulfur atomic ratio (Table S1) in the structures of the composites showed that by increasing the weight of the NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr) in the initial suspension, the relative amount of sulfur also increased. These changes in the atomic ratio of the copper and sulfur resulted from the partial reduction of copper cations by carboxylic acid groups in the structure of NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr). The same phenomenon has been detected in the presence of some other reducing agents in the reaction media [80,81].

As seen in the HR-TEM images (Fig. 3), the CuS nanoparticles, which had an average size of about 44 nm, can be distinguished from NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr) (average size about 55 nm) by darker and egg-shaped morphologies.

In order to identify the phase of the CuS nanoparticles, SAED was used for four different areas on the TEM-grid, which supports the hexagonal space group of the CuS nanoparticles, whereas the diffraction rings match the literature value (hexagonal, space group P6$_3$/mmc, COD: 9008389) (Fig. 4) [82,83].

Fig. 5 presents nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of the as-prepared activated NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr) and composite samples, which all demonstrated the same type I isotherms due to their microporous structure [63]. By increasing the weight fraction of CuS nanoparticles in the final samples, the surface area, along with the total pore volume, was reduced. The total pore volume and surface area of the samples are exhibited in Table 1.

The XPS of the as-prepared 0.15-NM@CuS was employed to elucidate the chemical composition of the elements at the surface, considering the chemical environment of the CuS nanoparticles and NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr) in the structure of the composite samples. The presence of Cu, Cr, S, N, C, and O in the as-prepared 0.15-NM@CuS was confirmed by the survey spectrum of the composite structures (Fig. 6a).

The Cr 2p$_{3/2}$ orbital indicates two different Cr$^{3+}$ species. The Cr$^{3+}$ species at 575.6 eV can be ascribed to Cr–OH$_2$ and the other species at 578.0 eV can be ascribed to Cr–OH. The ratios of about 2:1 correspond to the trinuclear Cr$_3$ unit with two bound aqua ligands and one hydroxide ligand in NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr) (Fig. 6b) [84]. The high-resolution spectrum of the Cu 2p (Fig. 6c) shows two prominent peaks at 933.3 and 953.0 eV, which can be ascribed to Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ and Cu 2p$_{1/2}$ in the structures of the CuS nanoparticles, whereas the small peak around 933.3 eV can be attributed to impurities of Cu$^+$ with the central peak at 933.5 eV ascribed to Cu$^{2+}$. The 2p peak confirmed these results [85]. Furthermore, the S 2p peak (Fig. 6d) located at 163.5 eV, which splits into two peaks at 163.1 and 164.9 eV, corresponds to S$_2$p$_{3/2}$ and S$_2$p$_{1/2}$ which confirms the presence of sulfur metal in the structure of the NM@CuS composite materials [36,86].

The C1s, N1s, and O 1s peaks are shown in Figs. S2, S3, and S4, respectively, with the XPS analysis data shown in Table S2. All of the XPS data confirmed the presence of the NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS in the structure of the composite samples.

The optical properties of the samples were determined by diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS). Fig. 7a shows the UV–Visible absorption behavior of the as-prepared samples. The adsorption band position of the NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr) in the UV region was ascribed to π-π$^*$ of the ligand [87], while the low adsorption band around 600 nm can be related to the d-d spin-allowed transition of Cr$^{3+}$ centers [56]. The UV–visible light spectrum of the composite photocatalysts, NM@CuS, indicates that the visible light absorption intensity is significantly higher than in pure NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr), which can be attributed to intensive absorption of UV–Visible light by the CuS nanoparticles in the NM@CuS structures. Also, broadband extending into the near-IR region indicates the presence of CuS nanoparticles in the as-obtained composite photocatalyst materials [88].

The optical band gap energy of the samples was determined using the intercepts of the tangents of $(Ahv)^2$ vs. $(hv)$ (Tauc plot), shown in Fig. 7b for each sample.
Fig. 3. (a,b,c,d) HR-TEM images of the 0.15-NM@CuS in different magnitude.

Fig. 4. Selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of 0.15-NM@CuS (diffraction rings for hexagonal CuS, space group P6_3/mmc, COD: 9008389).
\[ \alpha(h\nu) = A \left(h\nu - E_g\right)^{1/2} \]

where \( \alpha \) is the absorption coefficient, \( h\nu \) is the light frequency, \( E_g \) is the semiconductor bandgap energy, and \( A \) is the equation constant, respectively.

Thus, the band gaps of the samples were estimated from intercepts of the above equation as 1.8 (Fig. S8), 1.98, 2.05, 2.19, 2.25, 2.30, and 2.6 eV for CuS, 0.05-NM@CuS, 0.10-NM@CuS, 0.15-NM@CuS, 0.20-NM@CuS, 0.25-NM@CuS, and NH₂-MIL-101(Cr), respectively. The band gap values show that the optical band gap of the composite samples increases with the increasing amount of the MOF in the structures of the composites.

### 3.2. Photocatalytic degradation of RhB

The photocatalytic performance of the as-prepared samples was evaluated via the degradation of model polluted water with RhB dye (10 ppm, pH = 7.0. room temp) under visible light irradiation (Xenon lamp 500 W). As shown in Fig. 8a, in the absence of the photocatalyst, no significant RhB degradation was observed. The concentration of RhB decreased by increasing the irradiation time, and all samples showed higher degradation performance compared to the individual parent materials.

Maximum photocatalytic degradation (\( C/C_0 = 0.06 \)) was observed when the 0.15-NM@CuS sample was used. The photocatalytic activity of the samples was increased by increasing the number of NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) nanoparticles in the structure of the final composite samples, and it reached the maximum at 0.15 g of the NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) in the precursor suspension. Next, further increasing the NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) loading in the nanoparticles caused a decline in the photocatalytic performance, although it was still better than pure NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS nanoparticles. Two phenomena lead to maximum photocatalytic activity in a certain loading amount of NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) nanoparticles,
relating to a change in the UV–Visible light absorption and the bandgap properties of the composite samples.

The UV–visible absorption spectra of the samples (Fig. 7a) indicate that the absorption rate above 450 nm becomes more potent when the loading of NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) nanoparticles decreases, which leads to significant absorption of visible light and excitation of more electron-hole pairs. Alternatively, the bandgap of the composite samples decreases with increasing loading of the copper sulfide nanoparticles that reduce the photocatalytic performance by increasing the electron-hole recombination rate [89].
Parameters such as the increase in the UV–visible absorption; decrease in the bandgap energy levels as the amount of NH$_2$–MIL-101 (Cr) nanoparticles decreases; and also the effect of changing the surface area of the samples by altering the NH$_2$–MIL-101 (Cr) loading; lead to a boost in the photocatalytic activity by loading NH$_2$–MIL-101 (Cr) nanoparticles up to 0.15 g in the composite structures. The sulfur enrichment in the NM@CuS nanoparticles can also enhance the photocatalytic performance of the samples, as is already reported for photocatalysts containing copper–sulfur nanoparticles [80,90].

The change with time in the absorption spectra of RhB in the UV–visible region in the presence of 0.15-NM@CuS product is also shown in Fig. 8b. As seen in this figure, the main peak at 554 eV diminishes with time over the reaction. A kinetic study of the photocatalytic reaction is performed by fitting the experimental data for NH$_2$–MIL-101 (Cr), CuS, and 0.15-NM@CuS, by using a first-order model applying the following equation:

$$-\ln(C/C_0) = kt$$

where $C_0$ is the RhB concentration at equilibrium after a 2-hour adsorption/desorption process in dark conditions, $C$ is the residual RhB concentration at time $t$ (min) in the solution, and $k$ is the apparent rate constant in the first-order kinetic model. As indicated in Fig. 8c,d the apparent constant ($k$) for the 0.15-NM@CuS is 1.87 times more than CuS and also 4.85 times more than NH$_2$–MIL-101 (Cr). The higher apparent constant ($k$) of the 0.15-NM@CuS compared to pure NH$_2$–MIL-101 (Cr) and CuS nanoparticles confirm the more effective photodegradation of RhB in the presence of 0.15-NM@CuS.

In Fig. 9a, the effect of raising the concentration of RhB on the photocatalytic performance of the samples is plotted. The maximum photocatalytic activity is observed when the initial concentration of RhB was 5 ppm (in the presence of 0.15-NM@CuS). As the RhB dye concentration increase, the photocatalytic activity reduces, which can be linked to the reduction in numbers of photons hitting the photocatalyst surfaces through extending the visible-light absorption by the dye molecules at the catalyst surfaces and in the solution [91].

The outcome of increasing the amount of photocatalyst on the photodegradation of RhB was also explored. By increasing the amount of photocatalyst (0.15-NM@CuS) from 5 mg to 40 mg, the degradation of RhB was boosted, but when the amount of photocatalyst is over 80 mg, the degradation was diminished (Fig. 9b), which could be the result of shielding effects of the high concentration of photocatalyst particles that inhibit the light reaching other photocatalyst particles in the suspension [92].

The stability of the photocatalyst (0.15-NM@CuS) was evaluated by 4-time cycles of the photocatalytic reaction. After each cycle, the photocatalyst was washed and dried for the next cycle. As presented in Fig. 10, after 4 cycles, about 89% of the RhB can be degraded, which shows the solidity of the photocatalyst during the cyclic photocatalytic reaction. The reduction of RhB can be attributed to a partial loss of the photocatalyst through the washing procedure of cycles of the photocatalytic reaction. The reusability experiment and also PXRD (Fig. S5) and FT-IR spectra (Fig. S6) of the photocatalyst sample after the cyclic experiment prove the stability of the as-prepared composite sample during the photocatalytic reaction. The ICP-mass spectroscopy (Table S3) was also used to determine the concentration of probable leached Cr$^{3+}$ in the solution resulting from the MH$_2$–MIL-101 (Cr). The ICP-mass data revealed that no significant Cr$^{3+}$ existed in solution, which confirms the stability of the MOF structure in the aqueous solution as the catalytic reaction proceeds.

### 3.3. Photocatalytic degradation mechanism of RhB over NM@CuS

In the photocatalytic degradation process of organic impurities in water, three active species play an essential role, including superoxide radicals ($\cdot$O$_2^-$), holes (h$^+$), and hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot$OH), which depending on the type of photocatalytic reaction, play different roles in the overall photocatalytic reaction. To determine the main active components in the photocatalytic degradation process of RhB, a trapping experiment was conducted (Fig. 11). Three different scavengers, benzoquinone (BQ, 0.05 mM), ammonium oxalate (AO, 0.05 mM), and tert-butyl alcohol (TBA, 0.05 mM), were used as an anion radical ($\cdot$O$_2^-$) scavenger, hole (h$^+$) scavenger, and photogenerated hydroxyl radical ($\cdot$OH) scavenger, respectively [93].

As shown in Fig. 11a, the photodegradation of RhB dramatically decreased over an 80 min reaction time ($C/C_0 = 55$) in the presence of BQ compared to the absence of scavenger ($C/C_0 = 0.06$). This shows that the superoxide radicals ($\cdot$O$_2^-$) formed through the direct reaction of photogenerated electrons with the adsorbed oxygen molecules on the surface of the photocatalyst play an essential role in the photodegradation of RhB in the presence of NM@CuS. Also, adding a hole (h$^+$) and hydroxyl radical ($\cdot$OH) scavenger decreased the photocatalytic degradation of RhB to about ($C/C_0 = 0.27$) and ($C/C_0 = 0.19$), respectively. The obtained data from trapping experiments revealed that scavengers for the superoxide radical ($\cdot$O$_2^-$), the hydroxyl radical ($\cdot$OH), and holes (h$^+$) affect the photodegradation process in the presence of NM@CuS, but the superoxide radical ($\cdot$O$_2^-$) plays a substantial role in the photocatalytic response. In contrast, the hydroxyl radical ($\cdot$OH) has a less critical role. As such, the photocatalytic

![Fig. 9](image-url). (a) Effect of different RhB concentrations on the photocatalytic performance of 0.15-NM@CuS, (b) effect of photocatalyst dosage on photocatalytic degradation of RhB.
performance of a photocatalyst composite is directly related to the transfer rates of photogenerated electrons and holes during the photocatalytic reaction.

The photocurrent density of the pure NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) and 0.15-NM@CuS (as the best photocatalytic sample) was also reported in Fig. 11b. The higher photocurrent density found for the 0.15-NM@CuS (0.8 μA/cm²) sample compared to NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) (0.2 μA/cm²) confirms the higher photogenerated electron and hole transfer rate in the composite samples compared to pure NH₂-MIL-101(Cr), which can be associated with active electron and hole transfer between CuS and NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) in the composite sample [94].

Next, the photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the samples were determined (Fig. S7). The 0.15-NM@CuS sample showed a lower PL spectrum intensity, which relates to sufficient hole and electron separation in the structure of the 0.15-NM@CuS compared to other samples. These results are consistent with the obtained data from transient photocurrent and photocatalytic studies.

With the intention of further understanding of charge carrier migration, EIS was recorded and presented as a Nyquist plot (Fig. 12a). The small semicircle Nyquist plots of 0.15-NM@CuS compared to NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS can be ascribed to faster electron-hole migration in the composite sample compared to the parent materials, which is consistent with the photocurrent result [95].

To further study the electrochemical behavior of the photoelectrodes, the simulated circuit of the electrochemical cell was calculated, and the electron lifetime of the 0.15-NM@CuS, NH₂-MIL-101(Cr), and CuS was estimated from a Bode plot. The corresponding parameters calculated for the equivalent circuit are presented in Table 2. The equivalent circuit consisted of two parallel R and C elements along with the Warburg impedance (Zw) used to fit the data (Fig. 12a inset). As shown in Fig. 12a, FTO sheet resistance (Rs) is represented by a non-zero intercept on the real axis in the EIS plot. Rct is the resistance due to the charge transfer at the interface of the photoelectrode with the electrolyte. The electron lifetime was also calculated from the peak angular frequency value, which shows a higher value for 0.15-NM@CuS compared to NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS, thereby confirming the effective electron-hole separation in the composite sample [96].

The electron lifetimes are calculated from the peak angular frequency value (fmax) using the following equation:

\[ \tau_n = \frac{1}{2\pi f_{\text{max}}} \]

A higher \( \tau_n \) value reveals that the electrons have an extended lifetime and transferred efficiently, resulting in higher photocurrent density with elevated energy conversion efficiency.

To determine the flat band potential of the NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS, Mott-Schottky analysis was used [97]. The positive slope of the Mott-Schottky plot (Fig. 13a) for NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) and a negative slope for the CuS nanoparticles (Fig. 13b) revealed the n-type and p-type properties of the MIL-101(Cr) and CuS nanoparticles, respectively [98]. As shown in Fig. 13(a,b), the flat band potentials (vs. Ag/AgCl) for NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS nanoparticles are located at −0.6 V and 0.85 V, respectively. The flat band potentials can be converted into normal hydrogen electrode potential (NHE) according to the following equation (\( E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} = 0.197 \) V):

\[ E_{\text{NHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} \]

It is commonly accepted that the potential of the conduction band (CB) is 0.1 V above the flat band in an n-type semiconductor, and the potential of the valence band (VB) is 0.1 V below the flat band potential in
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Fig. 10. Cycling runs of the photocatalytic degradation of RhB (10 ppm) over 0.15-NM@CuS.

Fig. 11. (a) Photocatalytic activity of the 0.15-NM@CuS for the degradation of RhB in the presence of different scavengers, (b) transient photocurrent response of the pure NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) and 0.15-NM@CuS.
a p-type semiconductor [99]. Hence, the CB of NH2-MIL-101(Cr) and VB of CuS are −0.503 and 1.147 V (vs. NHE), respectively, with band gap potentials of 2.6 eV for NH2-MIL-101(Cr) and 1.8 eV for CuS. Thus, the VB and CB potential of NH2-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS are calculated as 2.097 eV and −0.653 eV, receptively.

3.4. Results from DFT calculations

The optimized cell parameters for CuS bulk were a = 3.782 Å and c = 16.290 Å, i.e. in excellent agreement with the experiment (a = 3.794 Å and c = 16.341 Å) [100] and previous ab initio studies (a = 3.791 Å and c = 16.400 Å) [76]. Geometries of the relaxed CuS bulk, CuS(001) surface, NH2-MIL-110(Cr), and NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/CuS(001) nanohybrid photocatalysts used in our calculations are shown in Fig. 14.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rs (Ω)</th>
<th>Rct (Ω)</th>
<th>Cdl (μF)</th>
<th>ZW (μΩ)</th>
<th>τn (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuS</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH2-MIL-101(Cr)</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>25.44</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15-NM@CuS</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>43.92</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thermodynamic stability of the NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/CuS(001) composite can be investigated by calculating the binding energy using the following equation:

$$E_b = E_{\text{NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/CuS(001)}} - E_{\text{CuS(001)}} - E_{\text{NH2-MIL-101(Cr)}}$$

where $E_{\text{NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/CuS(001)}}$, $E_{\text{CuS(001)}}$, and $E_{\text{NH2-MIL-101(Cr)}}$ represent the total energies of the NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/CuS(001) nanohybrid, the CuS(001) surface, and the NH2-MIL-101(Cr), respectively. The calculated $E_b$ is −3.85 eV for the NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/CuS(001), which shows the stability of the hybrid structure thermodynamically, compared to its components.

To explore the nature of the interaction between NH2-MIL-101(Cr) and the CuS (001) surface, electronic structure calculations of the NH2-MIL-101(Cr), CuS(001) surface, and NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/CuS(001) hybrid structure were carried out, and the results are shown in Figs. 15 and 16.

The calculated band structures show that the hybrid NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/CuS(001) system has a direct bandgap as large as 2.10 eV (Fig. 15). The CuS(001) surface has a direct bandgap of 1.64 eV, shown in Fig. 15b, with the valence band maximum (VBM) and the conduction band minimum (CBM) located at the $\Gamma$ point. Besides, the direct bandgap for NH2-MIL-101(Cr) is 2.65 at X high symmetry point (Fig. 15). These results are consistent with our experimental findings, confirming that CuS nanoparticles are mostly composed of CuS(001).

The Mott-Schottky plots of pure NH2-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS are shown in Fig. 13. The electrochemical parameters of the as-prepared photocatalytic electrode derived from the simulated circuit are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: The electrochemical parameters of the as-prepared photocatalytic electrode derived from the simulated circuit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rs (Ω)</th>
<th>Rct (Ω)</th>
<th>Cdl (μF)</th>
<th>ZW (μΩ)</th>
<th>τn (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuS</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH2-MIL-101(Cr)</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>25.44</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15-NM@CuS</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>43.92</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12. (a) EIS property (Nyquist plot) of NH2-MIL-101(Cr), CuS, and 0.15-NM@CuS composites (b) bode plot of the of NH2-MIL-101(Cr), CuS, and 0.15-NM@CuS composite.

Fig. 13. Mott-Schottky plots of pure NH2-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS.
surfaces. It should be noted that the smaller bandgap obtained for the hybrid structure can notably increase the photocatalytic performance of NH2-MIL-101(Cr) under visible irradiation.

To further study the electronic structure of the NH2-MIL-101(Cr) and CuS(001) at the interface in the hybrid system, the total DOS (TDOS) and its projected DOS (PDOS) were also calculated, and the results are shown in Fig. 16. According to Fig. 16a, while the CBM of the NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/CuS(001) hetero-structure mainly originates from the atomic orbitals Cr(p) of the NH2-MIL-101(Cr), the VBM is dominated by the Cu(d) and S(p) orbitals of the CuS(001) surface. Moreover, Fig. 16b and c indicate that the CBM and VBM of the naked CuS(001) surface and NH2-MIL-101(Cr) semiconductor are composed of S(p) and Cr(d) orbitals, respectively.

Taking the remarkable enhancement in charge separation based on PL and photoelectrochemical analysis, the composite photocatalyst has a direct Z-Scheme mechanism, which is shown in Scheme 1.

Visible-light irradiation drives the electrons generated in the CuS and NH2-MIL-101(Cr) valence bands to the conduction band through the excitation process. The photo-exited electrons in the conduction band of NH2-MIL-101(Cr) at \(-0.503\) V (vs. NHE) react with holes in the valence band of CuS at 1.147 V (vs. NHE). The trapping experiment revealed that the main active species were superoxide radicals (\(\dot{O}_2^-\)). Therefore, the adsorbed O2 molecules on the surface of the photocatalyst can be reduced by electrons in the CB of NH2-MIL101 (Cr) to create the superoxide radicals (\(\dot{O}_2^-\)), which can be active in the degradation process. Furthermore, holes remaining in the valence band of NH2-MIL-101(Cr) can partially react with hydroxyl anions to form hydroxyl radicals.

The following photocatalytic reaction equations may occur during the degradation of RhB over NM@CuS samples:

\[
\text{NH}_2\text{−MIL-101(Cr)} + hv \rightarrow \text{h}^+ (\text{NH}_2\text{−MIL-101(Cr)}) + e^- (\text{NH}_2\text{−MIL-101(Cr)}) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{CuS} + hv \rightarrow \text{h}^+ (\text{CuS}) + e^- (\text{CuS}) \quad (2)
\]

\[
e^- (\text{CuS}) \rightarrow e^- (\text{NH}_2\text{−MIL-101(Cr)}) \quad (3)
\]

\[
e^- + \text{O}_2 \text{ (adsorbed)} \rightarrow \text{O}_2^- \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{h}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H}^+ \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{O}_2^- + (\text{h}^+ / \text{OH}) + \text{RhB} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (6)
\]

For a better evaluation of the photoactivity of the as-prepared samples during degradation, the degradation of organic dyes in the presence of several existing MOFs-based photocatalysts is summarized in Table 3. These data reveal that the 0.15-NM@CuS composite has an excellent photocatalytic performance towards the degradation of organic dye.

4. Conclusion

In summary, a series of the NM@CuS composite photocatalyst samples were fabricated via a conventional solvothermal approach. The photocatalytic elimination of RhB has demonstrated that the sample containing 0.15 g NH2-MIL-101(Cr) in the precursor suspension exhibits higher photocatalytic ability compared to other samples, which can be attributed to the following factors: effective visible light absorption in the composite samples compared to pure NH2-MIL-101(Cr); higher surface area of the composite sample compared to pure CuS; thriving electron-hole transfer between p-type CuS and n-type NH2-MIL-101(Cr) in the composite structures, as confirmed by electron lifetime calculation, in comparison with pure MOF and CuS; and sulfur enrichment in the NM@CuS samples. The effective electron-hole transfer between p-CuS and n-type NH2-MIL-101(Cr) could be related to operational interaction between two components in the structure of the
composite samples, resulting from the proper potentials of the conduction and valence bands of p-CuS (CB: $-0.653$, VB: $1.147$) to n-NH$_2$-MIL-101(Cr) (CB: $-0.503$, VB: $2.097$) which forms a direct Z-scheme mechanism between the two components. The proposed mechanism based on electrochemical analysis and trapping of the reactive transient species indicated that superoxide radicals play a leading part in RhB photodegradation. The stability experiments showed the stability of the photocatalyst during the reaction. Finally, the DFT calculations confirm the band structure of the NH$_2$–MIL-101(Cr)$@$CuS nanocomposite and its components used in the experiments, showing higher photocatalytic efficiency in the visible region for the nanocomposite compared to the pristine MIL-101(Cr) and CuS. Based on the obtained results from photocatalytic experiments, electrochemical analysis, and stability tests, this work introduces the CuS nanoparticle as an efficient, low cost, and non-toxic co-catalyst for the fabrication of composite photocatalyst based on MOFs.
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Table 3

Comparisons of the photocatalytic efficiency of some reported MOF based photocatalyst with the as-prepared 0.15-NM@CuS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photocatalyst</th>
<th>Dye (ppm)</th>
<th>Catalyst (g/L)</th>
<th>Removal efficiency</th>
<th>Irradiation time (min)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH2-MIL-101(Cr)/iCuS</td>
<td>RhB (10)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2@silicalaldehyde@NH2-MIL-101(Cr)</td>
<td>MB (30)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrZrO3-MOF</td>
<td>IC (30)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UiO-66(Zr)@Bi2MoO6</td>
<td>RhB (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphotungstic acid/MIL-53(Fe)</td>
<td>RhB (10)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH2-MIL-25Br@P2O5</td>
<td>RhB (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiVO4/MIL-125(2T)</td>
<td>RhB (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-TiO2@MIL-100(Fe)</td>
<td>RhB (5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF/CuWO4</td>
<td>MB (10)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdS@MIL-33(Fe)</td>
<td>RhB (10)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag/UiO/66-Zr</td>
<td>RhB (30)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi2WO6/UiO-66(Zr)</td>
<td>RhB (20)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag2CO3/UiO-66(Zr)</td>
<td>RhB (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rhodamine B.
* Methylene blue.
* Indigo carmine.


